Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 336-1 Filed 12/12/24 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT A

28 Cooley LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	and u
2	to req
3	the In
4	Interr
5	respon
6	
7	Feder
8	III.
9	INTEI
10	
11	U.S.C
12	RESP
13	
14	
15	which
16	inform
17	Comp
18	
19	to the
20	suppo
21	and m
22	
23	identi
24	respon
25	
26	Interr
27	

and undue burden and expense. Meta further objects to Instruction 11 to the extent that it purports to require Meta to investigate information outside of its possession, custody, or control. As such the Instruction if overly broad, as well. Subject to any objections applicable to a particular Interrogatory, Meta will conduct a reasonable, proportionate search for non-privileged, relevant, responsive information within its possession, custody, or control.

19. In responding to all Interrogatories, Meta will comply with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.

III. OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INDIVIDUAL INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

State all facts on which you base Your contention that Your conduct constitutes fair use (17 U.S.C. § 107).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Meta incorporates by reference its objections and definitions above.

Meta objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous as to the phrase "Your conduct," which is undefined and could refer to any conduct. Meta will construe this Interrogatory to seek information concerning Meta's claim of fair use in connection with the conduct alleged in the Complaint (as construed above).

Meta objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and disproportionate to the needs of the case to the extent it seeks information that Meta does not intend to rely on to support a claim of fair use and calls for a lengthy narrative with regard to twelve different plaintiffs and more than forty works.

Meta objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it prematurely calls for expert testimony or identification of facts yet to be disclosed by Plaintiffs, and to the extent that it requires Meta to respond to legal arguments or theories not yet disclosed by Plaintiffs.

Finally, Meta objects to this Interrogatory because it exceeds Plaintiffs' limit of 25 Interrogatories under Rule 33(a)(1).

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order, Meta responds as follows:

28

	Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC	Document 336-1	Filed 12/12/24	Page 5 of 5	
1	Dated: September 30, 2024		COOLEY LLP		
2			By: /s/ Judd Laute	r	
3			By: /s/ Judd Laute Bobby Ghajar Mark Weinstein	n	
4			Kathleen Hartn Judd Lauter	ett	
5			Liz Stameshkin Colette Ghazar	l ian	
6			LEX LUMINA		
7			Mark A. Lemle		
8		CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Angela L. Dunning			
10			Attorneys for D META PLATE		
10			META PLATE	ORMS, INC.	
12					
13					
13					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
.P aw		1.4		META'S RESP & OBJ'S TO	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW